FEDSM-ICNMM2010-30563

IN-PLANE GAS PERMEABILITY OF PROTON EXCHANGE MEMBRANE FUEL CELL GAS DIFFUSION LAYERS

A. Tamayol
PhD Candidate
ata42@sfu.ca

M. Bahrami
Assistant Professor
mbahrami@sfu.ca

Mechatronic Systems Engineering,
School of Engineering Science,
Simon Fraser University, BC, Canada

Abstract

A new analytical approach is proposed for evaluating the in-plane permeability of gas diffusion layers (GDLs) of proton exchange membrane fuel cells. In this approach, the microstructure of carbon papers is modeled as a combination of equally-sized, equally-spaced fibers parallel and perpendicular to the flow direction. The permeability of the carbon paper is then estimated by a blend of the permeability of the two groups. Several blending techniques are investigated to find an optimum blend through comparisons with experimental data for GDLs. The proposed model captures the trends of experimental data over the entire range of GDL porosity. In addition, a compact relationship is reported that predicts the in-plane permeability of GDL as a function of porosity and the fiber diameter.

Keywords: PEM fuel cell, In-plane gas permeability; Gas diffusion layer; Blending technique; Fibrous media.

Nomenclature

d Fiber diameter, m

GDL   Gas diffusion layer

K Viscous permeability, m^2

K_{eq} Equivalent permeability of the mixture, m^2

P Pressure, Pa

S Distance between centers of adjacent fibers, m

U_D Volume-averaged superficial velocity, m/s

Greek symbols

ε Porosity

μ Fluid viscosity, $N.s/m^2$

φ Solid volume fraction, $\varphi = 1 - \varepsilon$

φ' Dimensionless parameter in Eq. (3), $\varphi' = \pi/4(1-\varepsilon)$

Subscript

par Parallel to flow direction

$norm$ Normal to flow direction

tot Total

eq Equivalent

1   Introduction

Proton exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMFCs) have shown the potential to be commercialized as power sources in automotive, electronic, portables, and stationary applications [1]. The membrane electrode assembly (MEA), the heart of a PEMFC, is composed of a membrane loaded by catalyst layers on each side and is sandwiched between two porous layers named gas diffusion layers (GDLs) [1]. In addition to mechanical support of the membrane, GDL allows transport of reactants, products, and

electrons from the bipolar plate towards the catalyst layer and vice versa. Therefore, thermophysical properties of GDLs such as gas and water permeabilities, thermal, and electrical conductivities affect the PEMFC performance and reliability. Transport of reactants in through-plane direction is a diffusive mechanism rather than a convective mechanism; thus, the only pressure driven mass transfer occurs in the in-plane direction [2]. Several theoretical and experimental studies have shown that the in-plane permeability of GDLs is a key parameter in optimization of PEMFCs performance [3-8].

The applied pressure to seal a PEMFC changes the GDL thickness and its porosity; this affects the transport properties of the GDL. For example, the gas permeability reduces as a result of the cell compression while the thermal and electrical conductivities increase. As such, a trade off exists in the design and optimization process of MEAs [9-11]. Therefore, having a model that can predict the in-plane permeability of GDLs as a function of porosity is valuable in the design of high performance PEMFCs.

There are few experimental studies available in the literature that reported the permeability of GDLs [12-16]. Ihonen et al. [14] showed that a reverse relationship exists between the in-plane permeability and compression. Recently, Feser et al. [2] studied the effects of compression and reported the in-plane gas permeability as a function of porosity for a carbon cloth, a non-woven carbon fiber GDL, and a carbon paper. In a similar work, Gostick et al. [16] measured the permeability of several commercial GDLs under various compressive loads and reported the in-plane permeability as a function of porosity. More details of the experimental studies can be found in Ref. [17].

She et al. [18] employed a fractal permeability model that accounted for the microstructures of the GDL in terms of two fractal dimensions and proposed a relationship for permeability as a function of tortuosity, fractal dimensions, pore area fractal dimensions, pore size distribution, and effective porosity. Their model, however, requires several geometrical parameters (e.g. fractal parameters) that should be known beforehand. Lattice Boltzmann simulations of gas flow through several random fibrous structures were carried out by Vandoormaal and Pharoah [19] over the porosity range of $0.6 < \varepsilon < 0.8$. They reported numerical results for different fiber orientations which were an order of magnitude different in a constant porosity. Based on the permeability values for structures with mixed orientations, they proposed two correlations for in-plane and through-plane permeabilities of the considered structures which were not compared with experimental data of actual GDLs.

Our literature review indicates the need for a general model that can accurately predict the in-plane permeability of GDLs, and its trends as a function of porosity and fibers diameter. Therefore, the objectives of the present work are to:

- Develop and verify a comprehensive analytical model that can predict the in-plane gas permeability of GDLs and that captures accurately the trends observed in experimental data.
- Investigate the effect of relevant geometrical parameters involved and identify the controlling parameters.

In this study, a novel approach is proposed for studying gas flow through GDLs and the porous medium is assumed as a combination of fibers normal and parallel to the flow direction. We then assume that a blend of the normal and parallel permeabilities of unidirectional fibers can provide an estimate for the in-plane permeability of GDLs. The fraction of fibers in each direction is evaluated from a simplified ordered geometry structure representing the microstructure of carbon paper. Several blending techniques are compared against experimental data collected from different sources. It is observed that the volume weighted blend is a better choice for the in-plane permeability of GDLs. Moreover, a compact relationship is presented for determining the in-plane permeability of GDLs as a function of porosity and fiber diameter.

2 Model development

Permeability, K, can be interpreted as a measure of the flow conductance of the porous material and is defined using Darcy equation [20]:

$$-\frac{dP}{dx} = \frac{\mu}{K} U_D \qquad (1)$$

where U_D is the volume averaged velocity through porous media, μ is viscosity and dP/dx is the pressure difference between two points in the flow-field. Darcy equation with the form of Eq. (1) is valid for incompressible, steady, constant properties, single-phase (no-surface tension forces), and low Reynolds number flows. As such, the same assumptions are considered in the present analysis.

There are different types of GDLs including carbon paper type, carbon fiber cloth, and wet-/dry-laid papers [19]. The carbon paper type is the focus of the present study. An SEM image of Toray carbon paper is shown in Fig. 1. Gas permeability of such fibrous structures depends on several factors including: porosity, fibers size and distribution. In carbon papers, the axes of fibers are located on

parallel planes with random distribution and orientation, see Fig. 1. Therefore, the geometry shown in Fig. 2 can be assumed as an idealized representation of the GDLs. The porosity of the ordered structure is related to the distance between the centers of adjacent fibers, S, and the fibers diameter d:

$$\varepsilon = 1 - \frac{\pi d}{4 S} \qquad (2)$$

Following Jackson and James [21], the carbon paper is modeled as a mixture of fibers parallel and normal to flow direction with solid volume fractions of φ_{par} and φ_{norm}, respectively; for the geometry shown in Fig. 2, $\varphi_{par} = \varphi_{norm} = \varphi_{tot}/2$. As such, it is expected that the equivalent permeability, $K_{eq}(\varphi)$, is related to the permeability of each component; the concept of blending technique is shown in Fig. 3. It should be noted that there is no concrete rules for estimating the mixtures permeability. Blending techniques have been successfully employed to estimate the permeability of fibrous mixtures such as hydrogels [21], fibers with different sizes [22], and fibers with different charges [23,24]. However, to our best knowledge, the application of blending techniques to planar structures such as GDL is novel.

Different blending rules, originally developed for different applicatiosn, are rewritten for a mixture of fibers with different orientations and are listed in Table 1. The Volume weighted scheme assumes that the two fiber categories act similar to flow resistors in series, while the volume weighted permeability model considers each fiber category as parallel flow resistors [24]. The geometric mean scheme is a purely mathematical blend.

To estimate the mixture permeability from using the equations listed in Table 1, one needs to know the permeability of the fibers in both normal and parallel directions.

2-1 Normal permeability

Recently, Tamayol and Bahrami [25-27] have analytically studied the normal and parallel permeabilities of ordered arrangements of unidirectional fibers. In Ref. [25], assuming a parabolic velocity profile within square arrangements of equally-sized fibers and integrating the continuity and momentum equations, a closed form analytical solution has been reported for the pressure drop and permeability:

$$K_{norm} = \left\{ \frac{12\left(\sqrt{\varphi'} - 1\right)}{\varphi'\sqrt{\varphi'}}\left[\frac{2 - g(\varepsilon)}{2}\right] + \frac{18 + 12(\varphi' - 1)}{\sqrt{\varphi'}(1 - \varphi')^2} + \frac{27\pi\sqrt{\varphi'}}{2(\varphi' - 1)^{2.5}} \right\}^{-1} d^2, \qquad (3)$$

$$\varphi' = \frac{\pi}{4(1 - \varepsilon)}, \quad g(\varepsilon) = 1.274\varepsilon - 0.274$$

Equation (3) is compared with experimental data for normal permeability of unidirectional fibers [28-32] in Fig. 4. It can be seen that the model of [25] is in a reasonable agreement with experimental data over the entire range of porosity. As such, Eq. (3) is used to calculate permeability of fibers normal to flow direction in the blending models.

(a)　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　(b)

Figure 1: SEM image of Toray 90 carbon paper a) top view, b) side view.

Figure 2: Proposed periodic geometry for modeling GDLs.

2-2 Parallel permeability

Tamayol and Bahrami [26] studied steady, incompressible, and fully-developed flow parallel to axes of mono-disperse fibers in several ordered arrays of cylinders. They started from general solution of the Poisson's equation and using point matching technique reported exact solutions for velocity distribution in the considered geometries. Their solution for parallel permeability of square fiber arrangements is:

$$K_{par} = \frac{d^2}{16\varphi}\left[\begin{array}{c} -1.479 - \ln\varphi + 2\varphi - \frac{\varphi^2}{2} \\ -0.0186\varphi^4 \end{array} \right] \qquad (4)$$

where φ is the fiber volume fraction. In Fig. 5, this model is compared with experimental and numerical data found in the literature [26, 33-35]. As shown in Fig. 5, Eq. (4) is also in good agreement with the collected data over the entire range of porosity. Therefore, Eq. (4) is employed to estimate the parallel permeability in the blending rules.

2-3 Comparison of different blending rules

The blending models listed in Table 1 combined with normal and parallel permeabilities presented by Eqs. (3) and (4) are used to calculate the permeability of the geometry shown in Fig. 2. In the considered geometry, 50% of fibers are parallel and 50% of fibers are normal to flow direction, i.e., $\varphi_{par} = \varphi_{norm} = \varphi_{tot}/2$. The calculated results from different blending rules are plotted in Fig. 6. It can be seen that the blending rules fall between normal and parallel permeabilities of square arrays of cylinders and at high porosities, $\varepsilon > 0.7$, there is a small difference between different models.


Figure 3: Summary of the blending technique concept.

4   Copyright © 2010 by ASME

Table 1: A summary of various blending methods investigated for estimation of in-plane permeability.

| Blending model	Relationship
Volume-weighted resistivity	$\dfrac{1}{K_{eq}(\varphi)} = \dfrac{\varphi_{par}}{\varphi}\dfrac{1}{K_{par}(\varphi)} + \dfrac{\varphi_{norm}}{\varphi}\dfrac{1}{K_{norm}(\varphi)}$
Unweighted resistivity	$\dfrac{1}{K_{eq}(\varphi)} = \dfrac{1}{K_{par}(\varphi_{par})} + \dfrac{1}{K_{norm}(\varphi_{norm})}$
Volume weighted permeability	$K_{eq}(\varphi) = \dfrac{\varphi_{par}}{\varphi} K_{par}(\varphi) + \dfrac{\varphi_{norm}}{\varphi} K_{norm}(\varphi)$
Geometric mean	$K_{eq}(\varphi) = \left(K_{par}(\varphi)\right)^{\frac{\varphi_{par}}{\varphi}} \left(K_{norm}(\varphi)\right)^{\frac{\varphi_{norm}}{\varphi}}$
$\varphi_{par} = \varphi_{norm} = \varphi_{tot}/2$	

Figure 4: Comparison of Eq. (3) with experimental data for normal permeability of unidirectional fibers [25].

Figure 5: Comparison of Eq. (4) with experimental data for parallel permeability of unidirectional fibers [26].

Our analysis showed that the volume weighted permeability scheme is in better agreement with experimental data in lower porosities, $\varepsilon < 0.7$. This blending model is written in the following easy-to-use form:

$$K(\varepsilon,d) = \exp\left(\frac{-12.95 + 13.9\varepsilon}{1 + 1.57\varepsilon - 2.22\varepsilon^2}\right)d^2 \qquad (5)$$

This relationship is only a function of porosity and fiber diameter. Comparison of Eq. (5) with experimental data is presented in the following sections.


Figure 6: Comparison of different blending models with the bounds for $\varphi_{par} = \varphi_{norm}$.

5

3 Comparison with experimental data

The blending model is compared with experimental results of Gostick et al. [16] and Feser et al. [2] for a variety of carbon paper GDLs in Fig. 7. In addition, to increase the porosity range of experimental data, the results reported by Shi and Lee [36] for composite fabrication application are included. The composite reinforcement mats have a similar microstructure to GDLs [37]. The permeability values are non-dimensionalized using the fiber diameters reported in the abovementioned studies.

Figure 7 shows that the volume weighted permeability method predicts the trends of experimental data over a wide range of porosity. This indicates that the resistance applied by fibers parallel and normal to flow direction are working parallel to each other.

Most of the experimental data fall between the normal and parallel permeabilities of the square arrangement of fibers. Therefore, normal and parallel permeability of unidirectional fibers can provide upper and lower bounds for the in-plane gas permeability of fibrous porous media such as GDLs, i.e., the permeability of fibers with mixed orientations is bounded by the two limiting cases when all of the fibers are oriented either parallel or normal to flow direction. This is in line with observations of Tomadakis and Robertson [37] and Tamayol and Bahrami [25].

Our analysis suggests that in-plane permeability is proportional to the fibers diameter squared; this is in line with other theoretical models listed in Table 2. The experimental data for materials with different diameters, non-dimensionalized using d^2 in Fig. 7, follows our proposed relationship, which verifies existence of such proportionality.


Figure 7: Comparison of the proposed blending model with the experimental data.

4 Comparison with other existing models

In Fig. 8, the present model, Eq. (5), and the collected experimental data are compared against the correlations reported by Tomadakis and Sotirchos [40] and Van Doormaal and Pharoah [19]; see Table 2 for more details. The model of Tomadakis and Sotirchos (TS) [38] was based on the analogy between electrical and flow conductions. This model was originally developed for permeability of randomly distributed overlapping fibers in composite reinforcements [37]. It can be seen that both Eq. (5) and the model of [38] predict the trends of experimental data over the low to medium range of porosity. However, TS model overpredicts the data in high porosities, $\varepsilon < 0.8$, while Eq. (5) is still in agreement with the experimental data.


Figure 8: Comparison of present model with other existing correlation for the in-plane permeability of fiber mats.

5 Conclusions

A novel analytical model was developed for the in-plane permeability of gas diffusion layers as a function of porosity and fiber diameter. In this approach, the porous medium was considered as a mixture of fibers parallel and normal to flow directions. Then, the permeability of the mixture was modeled as a blend of the permeabilities of its components. The normal and parallel fiber permeabilities were evaluated from our previous analytical studies for flow through square arrays of fibers. To find the fraction of each fibers category, an ordered equally-sized, equally spaced planar microstructure was assumed. The highlights of the present study can be summarized as:

- Normal and parallel permeability of the fibers provides bounds for the permeability GDLs, see Fig. 8.

Table 2: A summary of existing models for in-plane permeability of planar structures.

Reference	Relationships	Remarks
[38]	$K = \dfrac{\varepsilon}{8(\ln\varepsilon)^2}\left(\dfrac{(\varepsilon-\varepsilon_p)^{(\alpha+2)}}{(1-\varepsilon_p)^\alpha[(\alpha+1)\varepsilon-\varepsilon_p]^2}\right)d^2$, $\varepsilon_p = 0.11,\ \alpha = 0.521$	• Based on the analogy between electrical and flow conductions • Accurate only for $\varepsilon < 0.8$ • Developed for over lapping fibers
[19]	$K = 0.065\dfrac{\varepsilon^{3.6}}{1-\varepsilon}d^2$	• Based on curve fit of numerical results • Accurate only for $0.6 < \varepsilon < 0.8$

- GDLs can be treated as a combination of fibers with different orientations. Therefore, the proposed approach can be used for prediction of other transport properties.
- Permeability of GDLs is directly proportional to its porosity and the fibers diameter squared.

Employing the blending rules, a compact relationship was proposed for gas in-plane permeability of GDLs which was a function of the porosity and fibers diameter. The model can be used to guide the design and optimization of PEMFCs, and can be readily implemented into fuel cell models that require specification of the in-plane gas permeability of the GDL.

6 Acknowledgements

7 References

[1] A. Faghri, Z. Guo, 2005, "Challenges and opportunities of thermal management issues related to fuel cell technology and modeling," Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, **48**, pp. 3891−3920.

[2] J.P. Feser, A.K. Prasad, S.G. Advani, 2006, "Experimental characterization of in-plane permeability of gas diffusion layers," J. Power Sources, 161, pp. 1226−1231.

[3] J.P. Feser, A.K. Prasad, S.G. Advani, 2006, "On the relative influence of convection in serpentine flow fields of PEM fuel cells," J. Power Sources, **162**, pp. 404-412.

[4] J. Pharoah, 2005, "On the permeability of gas diffusion media used in PEM fuel cells," J. Power Sources, **144**, pp. 77–82.

[5] M. Mathias, J. Roth, J. Fleming,W. Lehner, in:W.Vielstich, A. Lamm, H.A. Gasteiger (Eds.), 2003, "Handbook of Fuel Cells—Fundamentals," Technology and Application, vol. 3, Chapter 46, Wiley.

[6] T.V. Nguyen, 1996, "A gas distributor design for proton-exchange-membrane fuel cells," J. Electrochem. Soc., **143**, pp. L103-L105.

[7] G. Hu, J. Fan, S. Chen, Y. Liu, K. Cen, 2004 "Three-dimensional numerical analysis of proton exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMFCs) with conventional and interdigitated flow fields," J. Power Sources, **136**, pp. 1–9.

[8] W. Sun, B. Peppley, K. Karan, 2005, "Modeling the influence of GDL and flow-field plate parameters on the reaction distribution in the PEMFC cathode catalyst layer," J. Power Sources, **144**, pp. 42–53.

[9] J. Ge, A. Higier, H. Liu, 2006, "Effect of gas diffusion layer compression on PEM fuel cell performance," J. Power Sources, **159**, pp. 922–927.

[10] P.T. Nguyen, T. Berning, N. Djilali, 2004, "Computational model of a PEM fuel cell with serpentine gas flow channels," J. Power Sources, **130**, pp. 149−157.

[11] T. Berning, N. Djilali, 2003, "A 3D multiphase multi component model of the cathode and anode of a PEM fuel cell," J. Electrochem. Soc., **150**, pp. A1589−A1598.

[12] V. Gurau, M.J. Bluemle, E.S. De Castro, Y. Tsou, T. A. Zawodzinski Jr., J. Adin Mann Jr., 2007, "Characterization of transport properties in gas diffusion layers for proton exchange membrane fuel cells 2. Absolute permeability," J. Power Sources, **165**, pp. 793–802.

[13] M. Williams, R. Kuntz, J. Fenton, 2004, "Influence of convection through gas diffusion layers on limiting current in PEMFCs using a serpentine flow field," J. Electrochem. Soc." **151**, pp. 1617–1627.

[14] J. Ihonen, M. Mikkola, G. Lindbergh, 2004, "Flooding of gas diffusion backing in PEFCs: physical and electrochemical characterization," J. Electrochem. Soc., **151**, pp. 1152–1161.

[15] B. Mueller, T.A. Zawodzinski, J. Bauman, F. Uribe, S. Gottesfeld, 1999, "Carbon cloth diffusion backings for high performance PEFC cathodes," in: T.F. Fuller, S. Gottesfeld (Eds.), Proceedings of the Second International Symposium on Proton Conducting Membrane Fuel Cells, pp. 1–9.

[16] J.T. Gostick, M.W. Fowler, M.D. Pritzker, M.A. Ioannidis, L.M. Behra, 2006, "In-plane and through-plane gas permeability of carbon fiber electrode backing layers," J. Power Sources, **162**, pp. 228-238.

[17] L. Cindrella, A.M. Kannana, J.F. Lina, K. Saminathana, Y. Hoc, C.W. Lind, J. Wertze, 2009, "Gas diffusion layer for proton exchange membrane fuel cells- A review," J. Power Sources, **194**, pp. 146−160.

[18] Y. Shi, J.S. Xiao, M. Pan, R.Z. Yuan, 2006, "A fractal permeability model for the gas diffusion layer of PEM fuel cells," J. Power Sources, **160**, pp. 277−283.

[19] M.A. Van Doormaal, J.G. Pharoah, 2009, "Determination of permeability in fibrous porous media using the lattice Boltzmann method with application to PEM fuel cells," Int. J. Numer. Meth. Fluids, **59**, pp. 75–89.

[20] M. Kaviany, 1992, "Principles of heat transfer in porous media," Springer-Verlag.

[21] G.W. Jackson, D.F. James, 1986, "The permeability of fibrous porous media," Can. J. Chem. Eng., **64**, pp. 364–374.

[22] D.S. Clauge, R.J. Philips, 1997, "A numerical calculation of the hydraulic permeability of three-dimensional disordered fibrous media," Phys. Fluids, **9**, pp. 1562-1572.

[23] S.R. Eisenberg, A.J. Grodzinsky, 1988, "Electrokinetic micromodel of extracellular matrix and other polyelectrolyte networks," Physico Chem Hydrodyn., **10**, pp. 517–539.

[24] K.J. Mattern, W.M. Deen, 2008, "Mixing Rules' for estimating the hydraulic permeability of fiber mixtures," AIChE, **54**, pp. 32-41.

[25] A. Tamayol, M. Bahrami, 2009, "Analytical determination of viscous permeability of fibrous porous media," Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, **52**, pp. 3691-3701.

[26] A. Tamayol, M. Bahrami, 2009, "Parallel flow in ordered fibrous structures: an analytical approach," Proceedings of FEDSM2009, Vail, Colorado USA.

[27] A. Tamayol, M. Bahrami, 2010, "Scaling laws for transverse permeability of fibrous porous media," Third International Conference on Porous Media and its Applications in Science, Engineering and Industry, Tuscany, Italy.

[28] W.H. Zhong, I.G. Currie, D.F. James, 2006, "Creeping flow through a model fibrous porous medium," Exper. Fluids, **40**, pp. 119-126.

[29] O.P. Bergelin, G.A. Brown, H.L. Hull, F.W. Sullivan, 1950, "Heat transfer and fluid friction during viscous flow across banks of tubes: III – a study of tube spacing and tube size," ASME J. Heat Transfer, **72,** pp. 881–888.

[30] A.A. Kirsch, N.A. Fuchs, 1967, "Studies on fibrous aerosol filters: II- pressure drops in systems of parallel cylinders," Annals Occup. Hygiene, **10**, pp. 23–30.

[31] T.A.K. Sadiq, S.G. Advani, R.S. Parnas, 1995, "Experimental investigation of transverse flow through aligned cylinders," Int. J. Multiphase Flow, **21**, pp. 755–774.

[32] B. Khomami, L.D. Moreno, 1997, "Stability of viscoelastic flow around periodic arrays of cylinders," Rheologica. Acta, **36**, pp. 367–383.

[33] R.R. Sullivan, 1942, "Specific surface measurements on compact bundles of parallel fibers," J. Appl. Phys., **13**, pp. 725-730.

[34] L. Skartsis, B. Khomami, J.L. Kardos, 1992, "Resin flow through fiber beds during composite manufacturing processes, part II: numerical and experimental studies of Newtonian flow through ideal and actual fiber beds," Polymer Eng. Sci., **32**, pp. 231-239.

[35] A.S. Sangani, C. Yao, 1988, "Transport processes in random arrays of cylinders: II- viscous flow," Phys. Fluids, **31**, pp. 2435-2444.

[36] C-H Shih, L.J. Lee, 1998, "Effect of Fiber Architecture on Permeability in LCM," Polym. Compos., **19**, pp. 626–639.

[37] M.M. Tomadakis, T. Robertson, 2005, "Viscous permeability of random fiber structures: comparison of electrical and diffusion estimates with experimental and analytical results," J. Compos. Mater., **39**, pp. 163-188.

[38] M.M. Tomadakis, S.V. Sotirchos, 1993, "Transport properties of random arrays of freely overlapping cylinders with various orientation distributions," J. Chem. Phys., **98**, pp. 616–626.